The Scholarly Article Autopsy

Information Sources from the Inside Out

Krista Bowers Sharpe Western Illinois University Libraries

Presentation Overview:

Background

Scholarly article autopsy activity

- ► Audience
- Learning Objectives
- Materials & Procedure
- Assessment of student learning
- Alignment with ACRL Framework
- Experience: Difficulties and Positive Outcomes

Background: Earlier incarnations

Types of Periodicals: Distinguishing Scholarly from Non-scholarly Periodicals: A Checklist of Criteria

Introduction

Journals and magazines, collectively referred to as periodicals, are important sources of up-to-date information in all disciplines. In the future you may need to read a particular type of periodical known as a scholarly or research-oriented journal. This guide is designed to help you distinguish between a scholarly journal and other types of periodicals.

Periodical Classification

There are four broad categories of periodicals: 1) scholarly or research-oriented, 2) professional, trade, or industry, 3) news or opinion, and 4) popular. Use the information below to help you distinguish between a scholarly journal and other types of periodicals.

If In Doubt

A periodical may not be clearly within one of the four categories outlined above. If in doubt, see **Magazine for Libraries** (Ref. Z6941.M23). Use the title index at the back to locate your periodical. Another option is **Ulrich's International Periodicals Directory**. This is an online resource available to WIU users. Only one person may log in at a time, so please log out when you finish.

	Scholarly and Research Journals	Professional, Trade and Industry	News or Commentary	Popular
Intent	To report original research; provide in-depth analysis	To report current trends, news, and events in a particular field, focusing on product, company and biographical information	To report or comment on current events in all areas; often hard to distinguish between fact and opinion	To entertain and inform
Authors	Researchers, professors, scholars; author's credentials noted	Journalists; author's credentials infrequently noted	Journalists; author's credentials rarely noted	Journalists; author's credentials rarely noted
Audience	College educated or equivalent; assumes background knowledge	Practitioners in the field	General population	General population
Sources	Footnotes and bibliographies present	Sources rarely cited, usually noted within article rather than in notes	Sources rarely cited, usually noted within article rather than in notes	Sources rarely cited
Advertisement	Ads are rarely present	Ads relate to the profession/industry	Advertises any and all products	Advertises any and all products
Publisher	Professional organizations	Commercial/trade publisher or professional organization	Commercial publisher	Commercial publisher
Examples	Psychological Bulletin, New Journal of Medicine, Econometrica	Beverage World, RN, Library Journal	Time, Sports Illustrated, New Republic, Sierra, Psychology Today	People Weekly, Reader's Digest, Redbook, Self

Title of Periodical (on cover):			_	
Answer the following questions abo	out the periodical AS A WHOLE:			
. Is the cover visually interesting (pictures,	etc.)?	Kinda		No
2. Does the periodical have advertisements	? Yes	Just a few		No
3. Who is responsible for publishing it?	A business (i.e. Time Warner)	I'm not sure		A university or association
. How many articles are listed in the table	of contents?			
	A lot of little articles			Only a few big articles
Is there an abstract (summany) at the be	ainning?			
	□ No □ No			Yes
i. Is there information about the author?		Between 5 and 10 pages		
 Is there information about the author? How long is the article? 	No No If yes, what is his/her job/position?_ Under 5 pages	Between 5 and 10 pages		Yes
 Is there information about the author? How long is the article? Does the article have footnotes and/or a 	No No If yes, what is his/her job/position?_ Under 5 pages bibliography?	Between 5 and 10 pages		Yes More than 10 pages
 is there information about the author? How long is the article? Does the article have footnotes and/or a What type of content does it contain? 	No No If yes, what is his/her job/position?_ Under 5 pages bibliography? No No No News or opinion			Yes More than 10 pages Yes
 is there information about the author? How long is the article? Does the article have footnotes and/or a What type of content does it contain? 	No No If yes, what is his/her job/position?_ Under 5 pages bibliography? No No News or opinion ience?	In-depth analysis		Yes More than 10 pages Yes Original research
 Is there an abstract (summary) at the begotion. Is there information about the author? Is there information about the author? How long is the article? Does the article have footnotes and/or a Does the article have footnotes and/or a What type of content does it contain? For whom is it written? Who is the audi Based on your answers to the a 	 No No If yes, what is his/her job/position?_ Under 5 pages bibliography? No News or opinion ience? General public What makes you think so? 	 In-depth analysis Practitioners (i.e. teachers) 		Yes More than 10 pages Yes Original research

	Article 1 title:	Article 2 title:
Is the article visually interesting (pictures, etc.)?		
Does the article contain advertisements? How many? What kind?		
Can you tell who is responsible for publishing it?	-	
Is there an abstract (summary) at the beginning?		
Is there information about the author?		
If yes, what is his/her job/position?		
How long is the article?		
Does the article have footnotes and/or a bibliography?		
What type of content does it contain?	News or opinion In-depth analysis Original research	News or opinion In-depth analysis Original research
For whom is it written? Who is the audience?	General public Practitioners Researchers	General public Practitioners Researchers
What makes you think so?	100	
Based on your answers to the above questions, which of the two publications is the scholarly one?		

Goals for Developing New Activity:

Incorporate Active Learning:

▶ Teamwork

Self-discovery

Responsibility for various tasks

Reporting of findings

Align more closely with ACRL Framework

autopsy, n.

1. The action or process of seeing with one's own eyes; personal observation, inspection, or experience. *Now rare*.

1651 R. Wittie tr. J. Primrose *Pop. Errours* i. xiv. 53 "Or by autopsie [L. per autopsiam], when by our observation, wee get a certaine knowledge of things."

2.

a. *med.* Examination of the organs of a dead body in order to determine the cause of death, nature and extent of disease, result of treatment, etc.; post-mortem examination; an instance of this.

b. fig. A critical examination or dissection of a subject or work.

1835 *Hist. Eng.* in *Lardner's Cab. Cycl. IV.* viii. 375 "He [sc. James I.] is, moreover, one of the least inviting subjects of moral autopsia."

"autopsy, n." OED Online. Oxford University Press, March 2017. Web. 22 May 2017.

Learning objectives:

- The student will be able to identify the standard elements of scholarly writing.
- The student will be able to distinguish scholarly from non-scholarly literature.
- The student will be able to select the appropriate type of source to use in various contexts.

Audience:

Students taking a research methods course in the major:

- Sociology 323: Social Research Methods II
- Anthropology 305: Applied Anthropological Methods
- Possible adaptations: any setting that requires in-depth examination of:
 - information creation processes
 - the construction of authority
 - contextual appropriateness of sources

Time duration:

Ideally at least 75 minutes

Can be fit into 50 minutes, but resulting student learning is more superficial

Materials:

- Instruction station with access to the internet
- Online guide with links to example articles in PDF
- Each pair/group needs:
 - a copy of the worksheet
 - a copy of a printed scholarly article
 - ▶ at least one computer with access to the internet
 - a highlighter and a writing utensil

Description of exercise:

Setup: assign teams, distribute materials (5 min.)

- Introduce the process: review worksheet, tell students to assign roles (5 min.)
- Activity: Check in with teams as they complete worksheet and mark up article (30-35 min.)
- Report back: Have students report findings back to entire class; guide the discussion so as to cover all aspects of worksheet and stress information creation processes and construction of authority (20-30 min.)

Session evaluation (5 min.)

Today's Activity

Today we're going to perform an autopsy on a scholarly article. In other words, we're going to cut it open and look at all the parts so

that we can better understand it. For this activity, you will:

- 1. Break into groups and assign in-group tasks.
- 2. Complete a worksheet that answers specific questions about a scholarly article.
- 3. Look at a non-scholarly article and compare the two types.
- 4. Present your findings to the class.
- 5. Turn in your worksheet and the paper copy of article 1.

IN-CLASS WORKSHEET

Scholarly Articles (Sociology)

Please use this article to answer the questions in the first part of your worksheet:

Group 1: Boys Doing Art: The Construction of Outlaw Masculinity in a Portland, Oregon, Graffiti Crew

Group 2: Masculinities and College Men's Depression: Recursive Relationships

Group 3: Brotherly Love: Homosociality and Black Masculinity in Gangsta Rap Music

- Group 4: 'The Whole Playboy Mansion Image': Girls' Fashioning and Fashioned Selves Within a Postfeminist Culture
- Group 5: Smash Mouth Football: Identity Development and Maintenance on a Women's Tackle Football Team

Non-scholarly Articles (Sociology)

Please complete the last part of your worksheet by comparing this article to your scholarly article:

Group 1: Graffiti's Story, From Vandalism to Art to Nostalgia

Activity: Preliminaries

Scholarly Article Autopsy	Group Members: Recorder: Presenter 1: Presenter 2:
---------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------

Activity: Quick Clues

QUICK CLUES

÷

SECTION

1. What kind of information can you find about the author(s) of the article?

Find the **abstract** and quickly scan it. What is an abstract? Why is it included with the article?

3. Are there any graphics included in the article? What kind? Why are they included?

 Identify citations within your article text. Circle below what style of citation your article uses:

a. Numbered endnotes b. In-text citations c. Numbered footnotes

5. Find one citation in the article text and **highlight** it, then turn to the bibliography/notes at the end of the article. **Highlight** the entry that corresponds to your citation.

Activity: Content



6. Find the **research question** and **highlight it** (it may be more than one sentence). If it is not explicitly stated, please write the article's central idea in your own words below:

7. Find the **methods** or **methodology** section of your article. How was the study conducted? How were subjects found? What tools were used to gather data? Summarize what was done.

Activity: Comparison

After you have completed questions 1-7, come ask me for your comparison article. 8. Look at the comparison article and find at least three major differences between your scholarly article and your comparison article: a. b. 3: COMPARISON с. 9. When could you use this kind of article? SECTION 10. Is it better or worse than a scholarly source? 11. So, why do scholarly articles exist? Who should use them and for what purposes?

Activity: Report Back Structure

- 1. Bring up PDF of article 1 up on screen. All of the presenters with article 1 come to the front and discuss questions 1-3, showing what they found on screen.
- 2. Presenters for article 2 come up, bring up the PDF, and talk about questions 4-5, citations. Make sure they show the link between a citation and its bibliography entry and discuss how citation is part of the research process and construction of authority.
- 3. Presenters for article 3 talk about the research question. Display it on screen, talk about what a research question is and what role it plays in the creation of information.
- 4. Presenters for article 4 talk about methods used and their role in information creation and authority construction.
- 5. Finally, have presenters for article 5 talk about the comparison to non-scholarly. Make sure they show the visible differences on-screen.

Note: The above process *must* be adapted to fit with the number of groups constituted, the example articles used, and students' reports.

Assessment of student learning:

The librarian and the teacher of record evaluate students' learning based on:

- oral reports of the groups
- discussion between groups
- completed worksheets and accompanying marked-up articles

Questions to ask:

- Did students correctly identify the elements and appropriate uses of scholarly and non-scholarly articles in their oral reports and on worksheets ?
- Did discussion between groups demonstrate students' ability to apply knowledge of the characteristics/elements of scholarly and non-scholarly writing to other, unfamiliar articles?

ACRL Information Literacy Framework:

Authority is Constructed and Contextual:

- Knowledge Practice 2: Use research tools and indicators of authority to determine the credibility of sources, understanding the elements that might temper this credibility.
- Knowledge Practice 3: Understand that many disciplines have acknowledged authorities in the sense of well-known scholars and publications that are widely considered standard. Even in those situations, some scholars would challenge the authority of those sources.

Information Creation as a Process:

- Knowledge Practice 1: Articulate the capabilities and constraints of information developed through various creation processes.
- Knowledge Practice 2: Assess the fit between an information product's creation process and a particular information need.
- Knowledge Practice 4: Recognize that information may be perceived differently based on the format in which it is packaged.
- Disposition 1: Are inclined to seek out characteristics of information products that indicate the underlying creation process.
- Disposition 2: Value the process of matching an information need with an appropriate product.

Experience: Challenges

Lack of buy-in by some students

► Not enough time

Teacher involvement is imperative

Teams of three not ideal



Experience: Positive Outcomes

More active learning

- More discussion during class period
- Greater ownership taken for learning
- Closer alignment with ACRL Framework
 - Direct & sustained engagement with sources
 - Deeper understanding of the difference in creation, audience, & uses of source types
 - Emphasis on discipline-specific standards through use of targeted examples

Comments & Suggestions:

Krista Bowers Sharpe

Coordinator of Reference Service Western Illinois University Libraries 1 University Circle Macomb, IL 61455

ka-bowers-sharpe@wiu.edu