

Next ILS Functionality: Group 1

1. Display results more engaging to academic use and research, easy to understand; format FRBRization
2. Better interoperability with campus data systems; patron data loading delays-should be real time
3. Ability to view orders placed by other participant libraries
4. Patrons ability to schedule rooms and items
5. Better ability to delete POs, and delete items off POs
6. Media equipment booking system
7. Discovery improvements to link catalog and licensed resources
8. Interoperability with ARS (remote storage) systems
9. Virtual shelf browsing
10. Info on how long a request may take to fill
11. Interaction with payment systems for debit and credit cards
12. Smart fulfillment for physical materials that takes into account the delivery routes
13. Call number searching in the public catalog
14. Reports on student instruction session outcomes
15. Better, easier reporting environment and tools
16. Less record loading manipulation, delays etc between local and union catalogs etc
17. More resource sharing options, integration with other ILL systems
18. Rights management info available with the item record; license management module
19. Better integration between acq and circ, eg. Handling faculty requests for purchases etc
20. Balance between patron privacy and ability to recommend materials based on use patterns
21. Recommend for purchase popup for patrons to make recommendations to staff
22. Support for all formats, e.g., music, all non-print
23. Support for QR codes on item records or other displays
24. Ability to exploit new social media apps easier
25. Open APIs that really work
26. Immediate reporting access to a book's (or any item's) history of acq, use, etc
27. Notes as to whose "pet" collection an item is in (for weeding)
28. A system that has a rapid development path and flexibility to meet future needs
29. Easy data export
30. Better billing for damaged items

Next ILS Functionality: Group 2

1. Easier reporting, less steep learning curve
2. Generate ACRL (etc.) reports automatically
3. Better global updates
4. Better interoperability within the system modules, e.g., ILL and acq
5. "Super catalog" incorporating discovery of the catalog and other resources, e.g., licensed e-resources (at no extra cost)
6. Seamless integration with WorldCat resource sharing
7. Able to support MARC and non-MARC data
8. More user friendly spine label printing
9. Levels of interfaces for varying expertise of users
10. Expanded keyword access, e.g., publisher name
11. Spellchecker and "did you mean..." in public catalog
12. FRBR
13. Ability to use browse to find all spellings of a word including misspellings
14. Better authority control in cataloging, better spell checking in cataloging module,
15. Exact title search
16. Better support for multi-piece items in circ
17. Better linking between bib records e.g. a parent child relationship in records
18. Search heading subdivisions in the OPAC
19. A way to lend e-books within the consortium
20. Centralized db of prediction patterns

Next ILS Functionality: Group 3

1. At least the same capabilities in acq, serials check-in as we have now. PLEASE
2. Support for FRBRization and RDA
3. Circulation of e-books and lending of e-books across the consortium
4. Better interoperability with campus ERP
5. Functionality similar in acq to OCLC's WMS, direct links to vendors like YBP, etc.
6. Reserves-links to CCC for e-reserves copyright issues
7. Save the time of the user-did you mean, estimated delivery times (smart fulfillment)
8. Spellchecking
9. Popularity/usage data in the OPAC
10. Ability to pay bills online
11. Better use of authority records in the OPAC
12. Customizable searches by library
13. Call number browse
14. Web based clients
15. Student input into the new system would be helpful; what do they want, what is their blue sky
16. Students want a Google-discovery type of search (simple search interface and integrated responses)
17. More recommendations to users (you might be interested in...) based on usage patterns, course enrollment etc.
18. Ability to filter search by length of the document
19. Integrate AtoZ and ERMS data in ILS
20. Reporting from within the ILS, (esp. OPAC stats) don't require Access, etc.; look at the III system
21. Email notices when an item is available for checkout
22. Support for MARC and non-MARC schema and interoperability for these data and importing
23. From a brief display, be able to see how many institutions own the item (to support 5 copy recommendation etc)
24. Ability to place an I-Share (UB) request from the discovery layer
25. Ability to support sophisticated report queries
26. More open software
27. Support for linked data
28. Link checking
29. Should include a federated search of e-resources and non
30. Communication protocols standardized for connections to other systems such as ARS etc