

00:12:43 Jen Masciadrelli, CARLI (she/her):
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1vd3q03VPSzZMPiBVNw1AGCjeu2VVfnX1YwTAwUBK_jY/edit#heading=h.eui9b6vn3o7e

00:20:30 Jodi Craiglow: AMEN on the non-CZ-fan sentiment.

00:21:14 cpelka: I search ALMA - NZ first and I only use OCLC if there isn't a full level encoding record. In voyager I always searched for an existing record and then used OCLC. Now OCLC only after the ALMA NZ is searched.

00:21:32 George Woolsey: Not a minority. We do the same as Mary.

00:21:49 Holly Nordheden: Editing is much easier in OCLC than Alma even with the improved MDE

00:22:04 Elizabeth Nelson (she/her): We always start with NZ. It saves time and resources.

00:22:13 Lori Thompson: We look in the NZ, appreciating all the fine work already done by Mary and Brent, ha!

00:22:16 constance.k.lee: I think the NZ is most useful for patrons in I-Share.

00:22:52 Alice Creason: With Voyager, we always started with OCLC for cataloging. Now we search the NZ for a record first and only go to OCLC if there is no match in the NZ.

00:23:14 Denise Green: Do you find a difference in the CZ for e-periodicals vs. ebooks/videos? With regard to record quality and depth.

00:23:14 cpelka: Yes prevents duplicates

00:23:23 Bill Schultz: Here at EIU we do just what Rosalie describes...

00:23:39 Tammie Busch: We use Rosalie's method at SIUE as well.

00:23:51 Mary Tatro: I always start with NZ for both print and online books and streaming videos to avoid duplicates. If not found, then I go to OCLC.

00:25:11 Kate Hartman: Ditto to Rosalie and Bill. I've had trouble with merging, so I'd much rather find something in the NZ and just use that than starting in OCLC and having to deal with the merge/combine inventory.

00:25:17 Brent Eckert: I often find that the version of the OCLC record in the NZ is older and not up-to-date. Another reason to download the latest version from OCLC.

00:25:17 constance.k.lee: I find that the record in the NZ is not always the most up to date copy of that record. I bring in from OCLC and overlay.

00:25:27 Bryan Hinds: I'm very much on the same page with a lot of people here. Start with looking for the NZ first and foremost. Use those primarily unless I don't find a record or the record I do find isn't great.

00:27:32 Brent Eckert: If you use the OCLC gateway export you cannot create a duplicate record in NZ. The system simply prevents it. Of course, if there is already duplicates in the NZ then you have to merge them before you can download the record. But that's not difficult to do.

00:27:58 Holly Nordheden: Easy to tell the older bib records in the NZ since they usually don't have the fast or genre headings. Those are the ones I switch to importing a fresh copy of the record from OCLC

00:29:26 Brent Eckert: Would there be a way to refresh the entire NZ with the latest versions of all OCLC records? That would be very helpful, I think.

00:29:29 Mary Konkell: A few other reasons we prefer our workflow to start with OCLC at College of DuPage is that we can report OCLC dups, select eresource titles in the OCLC WorldShare KB collection, and not have to worry about whether OCLC holdings were set or deleted, because we do at all at the same time we catalog the item.

00:30:57 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): At Millikin, we are looking to see if the NZ has the RDA appropriate fields to see if it is newer.

00:31:32 Tammie Busch: If no 505, would the user benefit from adding it?

00:31:40 cpelka: Fullest enhanced copy

00:31:43 Julie Adamski: We check to see if it has 505 / 520 fields - content listings and summaries

00:31:43 Lori Thompson: @Brent, I agree that would be so helpful!

00:31:56 constance.k.lee: subject headings and contents notes

00:32:01 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): 505, 520 are something we want or add if not there.

00:32:02 Alice Creason: ^ What Liz said. That NZ record is up-to-date with RDA, and complete.

00:32:13 Elizabeth Nelson (she/her): yes to alice and liz

00:32:40 Ted Schwitzner, CARLI (he/him/his): We don't need to talk about Community Zone bibs today.

00:32:43 George Woolsey: Other characteristics... fields that will help with the catalog in the future, such as FAST headings with their links - are these looking for BIBFRAME?

00:33:12 Gail Heideman: Yes, better ebook records: table of contents, subject headings, summaries.

00:33:30 Bryan Hinds: Subject headings are top on my list.

00:33:56 Brent Eckert: Essentials for records for us are: LC call number, 505, 520, LCSHs, genre headings when appropriate, besides the obvious basics.

00:35:17 Holly Nordheden: Agree with Brent's summary of the important updated fields

00:35:58 Tammie Busch: Also, it

00:36:02 George Woolsey: A catalog must have authority control to function properly. Changes to headings happen continuously. What is CARLI doing about this systemwide?

00:36:22 Kate Hartman: Agree with Brent and Holly, plus RDA fields.

00:36:27 Tammie Busch: Also, it's hard to define a one size fits all record because a good record can be discipline specific.

00:45:05 constance.k.lee: so are you saying the IZ bib replaces the NZ bib in the NZ

00:45:45 Bill Schultz: This NZ-IZ relationship has confused me at times too. We typically are adding holdings to an NZ record, not using an NZ record that we need to link to the NZ, so we are building an NZ-->IZ relationship. What is an instance where you would be going IZ-->NZ? If that makes sense...

00:46:22 Gail Heideman: I need the 082

00:46:26 constance.k.lee: so if you make changes to your IZ and then link to the NZ, and those changes are not in the NZ, then they are lost when you link if they are not local fields.

00:46:48 Julie Adamski: yes, leave the 082s in the NZ bibs please!

00:47:05 Bill Schultz: Sorry...I mean not using an IZ record that we need to link to the NZ

00:47:16 Jodi Craiglow: You can change your Primo settings not to display the Dewey number.

00:47:36 Brent Eckert: I would say don't delete any fields from a bib record unless they are actually wrong or inappropriate for that record.

00:47:50 Andrea Imre: the bib record call number is also used in Analytics for subject analysis

00:48:11 Holly Nordheden: But the record relationship Ted describes only works on linked records. Right? Is there a way to upload my unlinked IZ copy of the bibliographic record (caused during migration) and replace the one in the NZ? Frustrating when my copy is a newer version of the record. It's a pain to have to export from OCLC again

00:48:52 constance.k.lee: In my IZ, I'm seeing local call numbers (have the house next to them) that are not ours, do we see other institutions local call numbers in our IZ?

00:49:10 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): Apologies to everyone that has been affected by our deleting of tags! We were just following the instructions of our last cataloger, who probably had kept Voyager-related workflows.

00:49:49 George Woolsey: I should make a list of fields that I'm sure are entered at the local library level, such as 049, 092, 090, and all those funding fields in the 8XX and 9XX fields. I make sure I add them to preserve them when I overlay a record from OCLC. This can take time but is necessary.

00:50:35 Tammie Busch: Ted, when you say "local field", do you mean local extensions?

00:51:19 constance.k.lee: I've been working with my not linked records and I always overlay with a fresh bib from OCLC after linking.

00:51:40 Gail Heideman: What about 846s in the NZ Bib? I've seen a lot for various different platforms that I don't want my Patrons to see. I know right now those links are Primo. Sometimes they link to publisher descriptions but sometimes they link to ProQuest and EBSCO.

00:52:03 Holly Nordheden: Assuming I can get it past the duplicate match problem ...

00:52:43 George Woolsey: Not necessarily "local extensions" but actual 8XX and 9XX fields that are retained in NZ records. Local extensions should only show in the IZs.

00:52:46 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): Yes, 776 and 846 cause problems if left in the record as it leads the patron to believe we have access to the electronic version when we probably do not.

00:53:32 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): Are those things we can suppress from view in Primo config?

00:54:03 constance.k.lee: I was a little confused by that message that the inventory wasn't moving when records were merged, because when I've merged records I've seen the numbers change.

00:54:18 Jodi Craiglow: @Gail, here's documentation on Primo on how to hide those links:
[https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Primo/Product_Documentation/020Primo_VE/Primo_VE_\(English\)/050Display_Configuration/010Configuring_Discovery_views_for_Primo_VE#Configuring_the_Links_Service](https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Primo/Product_Documentation/020Primo_VE/Primo_VE_(English)/050Display_Configuration/010Configuring_Discovery_views_for_Primo_VE#Configuring_the_Links_Service)

00:54:38 Gail Heideman: Thanks @Jodi!

00:54:45 Jodi Craiglow: Sure! :)

00:54:55 Brent Eckert: I think you mean 856 not 846, right?

00:55:08 Gail Heideman: Yes!

00:55:26 Mary Konkell: At COD, we update the NZ records after the fact if we find a better OCLC record. Linking our IZ to the NZ record is done during cataloging but since we have to switch to the OCLC NZ gateway for download, we do this work as a separate process. We simply keep our paper order slips which have the OCLC number on them for that project.

00:56:56 Gail Heideman: There are a lot of ebook records that have 856s that are the link for one library!

00:57:34 Gail Heideman: I've found a lot in OCLC

00:57:52 Brent Eckert: They should be deleted!

00:58:32 Gail Heideman: I have deleted some of those.

00:59:06 Rosalie Resendiz (she/her) @ UIC: Yes, @Gail! I delete those too.

00:59:45 Jodi Craiglow: Ohhh -- good to know!

01:00:24 Gail Heideman: Well, I will feel better about deleting those links that can be deleted

01:00:33 Jen Masciadrelli, CARLI (she/her):@Gail Good! :)

01:01:55 Holly Nordheden: The caveat to mass replacement is that we can't easily identify the records that have been incorrectly merged on OCLC and comingle hardcover/trade paperback editions

01:02:20 Mary Konkell: If you find bib records in OCLC with 856s for individual institutions, you are allowed to delete these and make those changes to the OCLC master record. They shouldn't be there.

01:03:54 constance.k.lee: The electronic bibs with paper OCLC #s are a real problem for refreshing the bib records.

01:05:48 Julie Adamski: page numbers, publisher, pub year

01:06:42 Juanita: Edition statement. Changes in subtitles/authors or editors (not their order but adding or dropping of names).

01:07:01 Holly Nordheden: lack of illustrations in a later edition

01:07:24 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): Amazon is very hard for that!

01:07:36 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): Amazon is very handy (not hard) for that!

01:08:50 Bill Schultz: Duplicates...Paperback records for hardback records that are not new editions or from a different publisher...

01:09:10 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): When there are no discernible differences between the two records.

01:09:17 Brent Eckert: only if they have the same OCLC number

01:09:22 constance.k.lee: 019 field

01:09:38 Jodi Craiglow: Matching ISBNs and/or OCLC numbers

01:10:00 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): Or I question if it's a duplicate when only the punctuation is different in one record.

01:10:24 Holly Nordheden: Had a seventh printing where the illustrations had been dropped. I consider that enough of a change I would create a new bib record

01:10:25 Kate Hartman: No discernible differences, or if one has something that is CLEARLY a typo, and that is the only difference.

01:11:53 Holly Nordheden: Wish publishers would stop re-using LCCN with the paperback edition. It causes lots of confusion when it's not coded as a 010 subfield z

01:12:07 Tammie Busch: At the most basic level, if records have the same 035 \$a they are duplicates. Or if a record has an 035 \$z of another existing record with that same number in the 035 \$a. This made sense in my head.

01:12:34 Mary Konkell: If the only discernable difference is the pagination, I look for another copy of the same title and usually find a DLC record. Most likely what happened is that this record was derived from the DLC record and they forgot to update the pagination.

01:12:42 George Woolsey: Duplicate record--when a cataloger is looking at exactly the same resource. You need to allow for interpretation in making a record

01:15:11 Tammie Busch: We had an ILL request the other day in which the patron asked specifically for the copy with the green cover and not the red cover. So....

01:15:40 Mary Konkell: @Tammie.... too funny!

01:16:01 Jen Masciadrelli, CARLI (she/her):@tammie was the green cover one "this big"

01:16:01 Tammie Busch: @Mary That was an interesting one!

01:16:02 Juanita: One answer: Because the textbook is updated with a new edition every 2 years and the bibliographer keeps ordering the latest ed. and not withdrawing the previous editions.

01:16:39 Tammie Busch: @Jen lol, thankfully no!

01:18:20 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): We have so much P2E clean up left to do and no time to do it!

01:18:24 Lori Thompson: @Mary that is awesome!

01:19:07 Mary Konkell: Working remotely has allowed us to get more of this "project" work done.

01:24:10 cpelka: Searching the NZ for a match first prevents duplicates.

01:25:47 Brent Eckert: As I've said many times, if you use the OCLC gateway export it is impossible to create a duplicate of an OCLC record. If you use the Z39.50 search through Alma, then you must search NZ first to see if the record is already there.

01:26:49 Jen Masciadrelli, CARLI (she/her):Link ILS-migrated P2E portfolios to CZ but retain ILS-migrated bib records

01:27:07 Jen Masciadrelli, CARLI (she/her):
<https://www.carli.illinois.edu/products-services/i-share/electronic-res-man/p2e-cleanup>

01:30:01 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): NZ also helps with the title overload CARLI is working to clean up, right?

01:31:28 Mary Konkell: The exception would be IZ records for equipment, odd local stuff, etc. These would be counted towards our bib count, correct?

01:31:39 Holly Nordheden: Have 1400 unlinked IZ bibs in a collection of 25K, Very slow process to relink in a one person T.S. Department. Goal is to leave only short bib Reserves titles unlinked

01:32:26 constance.k.lee: I started with 2900 unlinked bibs, it is a slow process, I'm down to 1100

01:33:04 Denise Green: Good for you @Holly and @Connie and all working on dups!

01:34:12 Mary Konkell: But don't NZ records have to have OCLC numbers?

01:34:37 Mary Konkell: Ooo let's go rogue

01:34:42 Mary Konkell: ROGUE!

01:35:30 Cindy Scott: Will ExLibris and OCLC ever agree upon licensing of OCLC records in the CZ? So the CZ records could have OCLC #s

01:36:05 Mary Konkel: @Cindy, yes! I would vote for that enhancement.

01:36:34 Holly Nordheden: Our short bib record reserves are mostly donated textbook editions so they come and go regularly

01:36:57 Tami Luedtke: SUNY allows embedded order record to be put in their NZ and for a variety of reasons (including being able to better match and prevent duplicate records in our shelf ready processes), I would be for considering allowing these in our NZ too.

01:38:01 constance.k.lee: Yes, there are problems with linking which is why they didn't link.

01:38:37 Mary Konkel: Another duplicate issue that I see in the CZ is when the same ebook is on multiple platforms. These records sometime come in on separate records.

01:39:02 Andrea Imre: Is the poll for all kinds of records, print as well as electronic?

01:40:09 constance.k.lee: I've also found a couple, where our bib matched an NZ bib, but that bib had been merged in OCLC into another bib. I always overlay after I link or merged records.

01:40:22 Andrea Imre: My concern is online gov docs...

01:41:26 Brent Eckert: As long as we are talking about merging records with the same OCLC number only, then I say "yes" merge away!

01:41:45 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): I'm with Brent!

01:41:49 Tammie Busch: +1 to what Brent said.

01:42:14 Holly Nordheden: Just wish I had more confidence in the merges OCLC did ...

01:42:18 constance.k.lee: I agree if its the same OCLC #, if it's not the same OCLC #, I want to know

01:42:59 Mary Konkel: @Constance. I agree

01:43:12 Juanita: I agree with Constance. OCLC #s should match for merges.

01:44:29 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): I would be interested to see what merging based on OCLC # does to the number of duplicates in the NZ.

01:46:21 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): Personally, I hate that every related ISBN is listed in a record, but I know it can help patrons in some cases.

01:47:00 Brent Eckert: Agreed. I don't like e-ISBNs in print records.

01:47:12 Karin Wece (she/her): I've come across print gov docs where OCLC merged records for different items. It was invaluable to find the original, pre-merged OCLC record for the "absorbed" record in the NZ. I'm apprehensive about losing that.

01:47:53 Mary Konkel: All those related ISBNs are mostly there for machine merging. It makes the bib record "noisy"

01:48:39 constance.k.lee: I've found the same problem with regular bibs in OCLC, there have been some merged bibs where the content (not even the same title) had been merged and the old bib was still in the NZ so I could see it.

01:49:25 Holly Nordheden: agree with@ Mary and get so frustrated when people don't code them in a subfield z

01:50:10 Brent Eckert: @Holly, you can edit that in OCLC

01:50:38 Mary Konkel: Any new info on merging issues from ExLibris. If we could merge records with same OCLC numbers (@Liz), it would really be great to see how many records we delete.

01:50:57 Holly Nordheden: @Brett I have full level but can't edit DLC
bibs

01:51:36 Jodi Craiglow: I totally agree. Thanks for hosting this!

01:51:37 Brent Eckert: @Holly, yes you can! You can't edit
everything in PCC records. That's the limitation.

01:52:22 Liz Hollendonner (she/her): This has been very enlightening.
Thanks for sharing everyone!

01:52:36 Tammie Busch: @Brent Yes! The ability to edit certain
field in PCC records seems to be a well kept secret!

01:52:38 Denise Green: Thanks for coming.

01:52:46 constance.k.lee: I agree. This has been very helpful.
Thanks so much!

01:52:46 Andrew Belongea: Thank you!

01:52:49 George Woolsey: I feel more comfortable with reporting
records that need merging to CARLI.Support.

01:52:52 Juanita: Thank you!

01:52:53 Mary Konkel: Great session. THANKS!

01:52:54 H. Chris Chang: Thank you!

01:53:05 Lauren Noel: Thank you!

01:53:05 Holly Nordheden: @Brent I've been blocked. I'll look for
that PCC and try again

01:53:07 DGLYNN: Thank you!!